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#### Abstract

\section*{Objective:}

The objective was to determine the incidence of missed pathology on normal non contrast enhanced cranial computed tomography (NECT).

\section*{Method:}

Records of cranial computed tomography scans done over a 12 month period at the Dr George Mukhari Hospital were evaluated by three readers. The NECT and contrast enhanced cranial computed tomography (CECT) were read at separate occasions and readers did not have access to a history, each other's interpretation or to their own interpretation of the NECT when the CECT was evaluated. The data was evaluated and analysed after the 3 readers had seen the cases individually. Interpretation discrepancies were resolved during a meeting between all 3 readers and consensus was reached. Cases with missed pathology on the NECT were evaluated retrospectively at a joint meeting between the 3 readers to determine whether the pathology was visible on the NECT and thus to determine the combined reader error rate.


## Results:

In this study $3.28 \%$ of cases had pathology missed by 3 readers on the NECT. Retrospective viewing reduced this to $1.42 \%$ indicating a reader error of $1.85 \%$. This incidence of missed pathology correlates with the most recent studies done. Having a thorough medical history of the patient and selecting those with clinical findings indicating the need for a CECT will reduce the incidence of missed pathology.

## Conclusion:

Patients with a normal NECT and no fever, meningism, confusion, focal/lateralizing signs, a history of tuberculosis or tumours, or risk factors for dural venous sinus thrombosis have a very small chance of missed pathology on NECT. The risk of contrast induced adverse events outweighs the risk of missing pathology on a normal NECT provided there is no clinical indication necessitating a CECT.

Omitting unnecessary CECT will in turn reduce the risk of intravenous iodinated contrast and the radiation exposure to the patient. These two factors will ultimately reduce the running cost of the CT department and increase the throughput of patients. Alternatively omitting the NECT will reduce the radiation exposure to the patient.

Reporting errors can be reduced by assessing and managing risk factors in each department i.e. viewing conditions and workload.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

A conflict exists in the work place between radiologists and clinicians on when and whether a cranial contrast enhanced Computed Tomography (CECT) is indicated. It is well known in literature that isodense lesions and vascular abnormalities may be missed on a cranial noncontrast enhanced Computed Tomography (NECT) scan. Clinicians frequently request a NECT on patients with suspected intracranial pathology. Reasons for this may be that they underestimate the value of CECT or they may not suspect a space occupying or vascular lesion requiring CECT. The history received pertaining to a patient may be incomplete, unreliable or completely absent. The omission of a CECT may lead to misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis in patients with possible intracranial pathology.

The flip side of the coin is, with improved CT technology, a NECT may be sufficient in picking up pathology or indirect signs indicating pathology, thus alerting the radiologist to the need for a CECT scan.

There are several other factors that need to be considered when deciding on the risk benefit ratio of intravenous iodinated contrast media namely:

1) The risk for adverse events after intravenous injection of iodinated contrast media.
2) The cost and time implications of doing a CECT.
3) Radiation exposure which would be doubled if a NECT and CECT scan is performed.

## Chapter 2

## Literature Review

We live in a technologically advanced age. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has taken the place as the gold standard investigation when it comes to the evaluation of intracranial pathology. MRI is more sensitive than CT in identifying brain lesions in patients, especially those lesions smaller than $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$. CT has a sensitivity of $32 \%$ compared to a $95 \%$ sensitivity of MRI ${ }^{2}$; however MRI is still not widely available, especially in developing countries ${ }^{3}$. MRI availability in the public health sector of the Republic of South Africa is limited to a few tertiary institutions, therefore clinicians and radiologists in many centres still have to rely on CT for its diagnostic capabilities.

Most pathological processes e.g. neoplasms, infections and vascular insults to the brain will result in alterations in the blood brain barrier which will lead to local oedema and enhancement of the brain parenchyma ${ }^{3,4,5,6}$. Imaging characteristics including enhancement patterns have been described for various pathological conditions ${ }^{3,4,5,6,7}$. It is well recognised that isodense lesions could be missed on noncontrast enhanced CT (NECT). Contrast enhanced CT (CECT) can show lesions undetectable on NECT, and CECT can also define the boundaries of lesions better ${ }^{4}$. A CECT can confirm the NECT diagnosis or shorten the list of differential diagnoses. A CECT could also change the diagnosis completely ${ }^{3,5}$.

In general policies for the use of CECT have been established in the seventies due to experiences with early generation CT scanners ${ }^{5,8}$. Some authors regarded CECT as unhelpful if the NECT was normal ${ }^{9}$. Later studies demonstrated that some pathology missed on NECT can subsequently be seen on CECT $^{3,5}$. Some authors suggested the use of contrast media in normal NECT scans if there were focal signs ${ }^{3,5,8}$ and others was of opinion that a CECT was as accurate as a NECT and CECT combined ${ }^{10}$.

Literature regarding the efficacy of intravenous iodinated contrast media in cranial CT is not abundant. Most of the large studies (more than 1000 patients) were done in the 1970's and $1980^{\prime} \mathrm{s}^{6,9,11}$. A few smaller studies with 300-400 patients and a large study with 1900 patients were done in the $1990 \mathrm{~s}^{5,8,12}$. One study was done with 547 patients published in $2003^{3}$. Studies showed that very little pathology was missed on the cranial $\mathrm{NECT}^{3}$; only $6 \%$ in older studies ${ }^{6}, 0.5 \%{ }^{3,5}$ and $1.5 \%^{8}$ in the newer studies. Performing a cranial CECT changed the diagnosis in approximately $5 \%$ of cases in some studies by Cowan et al ${ }^{5}$ and Bernard et al ${ }^{8}$ and 2.5\% in Fayaz et al ${ }^{3}$. CT technology has changed dramatically in the last few years. CT scanners offer increased resolution, decreased radiation, multiplanar imaging and post reconstruction processing functions.

The most recent study found in literature by Fayaz et al ${ }^{3}$ investigating the usefulness of intravenous iodinated contrast media in cranial CT done in 1997 to 2001 had a total of 547 adult patients which were divided into three groups. The cranial scans were evaluated by 2 Radiologist with more than 10 years experience each, and scans were done on a third generation CT scanner. The first group of 496 patients had a normal NECT and no clinical indication for CECT e.g. lateralising signs. In this group only one patient ( $0.2 \%$ ) had an abnormal CECT, and a diagnosis of a Meningioma was made. The use of contrast media in this group could be omitted without a high risk of missed pathology. The second group had

16 patients of which 10 had a normal NECT. These patients had lateralising signs and contrast media was deemed necessary regardless of NECT findings. There were 12 patients with abnormal CECT, thus 2 patients (12.5\%) had a normal NECT and an abnormal CECT, namely meningeal enhancement. In this group it would be negligent to omit intravenous iodinated contrast media. The third group had 30 abnormal and 5 equivocal cranial NECT. The latter were confirmed normal on NECT. In 7 of the 30 abnormal NECT the use of contrast media added to the differential diagnosis thus in 12 out of 35 patients contrast media changed the diagnosis. Overall, in this study, an abnormality was seen only on CECT in 3 out of 547 cases $(0.5 \%)$ and it changed the diagnosis in 15 cases ( $2.7 \%$ ). The clinicians in this study had limited access to an MRI and patients with demyelinating diseases, cranial nerve pathology, sella pathology, infection, metastasis and neoplasm were excluded. Excluding these patients from the study could have had a dramatic effect on the outcome of the study as many of these patients could present with apparently normal NECT and subsequent abnormal CECT. If such a patient had a normal NECT and for whatever reason a CECT would be omitted, pathology could be missed with devastating results. Another bias in this study could have occurred where NECT and CECT were evaluated at the same time. This created the opportunity where the reader could go back to the NECT after seeing pathology on the CECT and change their initial evaluation. The results of this study cannot be used in determining protocols in a setting where clinicians rely heavily on the use of CT as a first line investigation.

Cowan et al ${ }^{5}$ reviewed 400 patients, of these 184 had a normal NECT and CECT. Only 1case $(0.5 \%)$ with a normal NECT was abnormal after intravenous contrast media. One of the 400 patients had an abnormal finding on MRI and could be seen retrospectively on CT and was thus removed. This gives the impression that scans were viewed retrospectively and
could have given an opportunity to introduce a bias. In the group with abnormal NECT 19 patient's diagnosis were altered after CECT. Two radiologists were used to evaluate the cranial CT. The readers had access to a history and this could have influenced the results. Patients with known neurological and neurosurgical conditions were excluded. This could have skewed the results as some of these patients may have had conditions like a Meningioma that could have been missed on NECT. The weakness of the Cowan et al study is the small number of normal cranial NECT entered into the study, if a larger sample size was used the outcome could have been different.

A study by Bernard et al ${ }^{8}$ in 1991 had 300 patients evaluated by cranial CT. Patients were divided into two groups namely those with focal signs (212 patients) and those without (88 patients). One hundred and ninety three patients out of 300 had a normal NECT of which 3 had an abnormal CECT (1.5\%). The final diagnoses made in these 3 patients were meningioma, infarct and multiple sclerosis. All 3 these patients had focal signs. From the group of 88 with no focal signs 60 patients had a normal NECT and CECT. There were 15 cases (5\%) where the CECT either changed the diagnosis or limited the differential diagnosis. Two patients in this group did not have any focal signs. The protocol used in the department stated that patients with a haemorrhage, trauma or infarct do not receive a CECT as did patients with normal NECT and no focal signs. Patients with suspected metastases and recurrence of intracranial tumours were excluded from the study. Including these patients could have affected the results of this study, and in our setting these patients would have received both cranial NECT and CECT. Another concern is that patients with a normal cranial NECT without focal signs did not receive intravenous contrast media, in doing so we do not know how this could have affected the results. As with Fayaz et al the NECT and CECT were evaluated at the same time creating a possible bias. Inter reader variability and
reader error was also not addressed in this study as only one neuroradiologist evaluated the cranial CT scans done.

Demaerel et al. ${ }^{12}$ did a large study in 1998 evaluating 1900 patients scanned on a third generation CT scanner over a 10 month period. These included both normal and abnormal NECT. They divided their patients into 2 categories. Category 1 contained patients with a clinical indication for CECT and were subdivided into 2 groups A and B. Category 2 contained patients subdivided into 4 groups A-D on their NECT findings. Scans were initially evaluated by registrars and a senior neuroradiologist. All reports were reviewed by a neuroradiologist and imaging findings were revaluated when deemed necessary. Category 1 group A were patients with a clinical indication for a CECT and 45 patients in this group had an abnormal NECT and CECT, 121 had a normal NECT and CECT and 7 had a normal NECT and abnormal CECT. Group B were patients with a known primary tumour and a request was made to exclude metastases. In this group 72 had an abnormal NECT and CECT, 308 had a normal NECT and CECT, and only 3 patients had a normal NECT and abnormal CECT. In category 2 patients were divided into 4 groups according to the NECT findings. Group A ( $\mathrm{n}=92$ ) had an abnormal NECT, Group B ( $\mathrm{n}=991$ ) had a normal NECT and CECT. Group C $(\mathrm{n}=255)$ had unsuspected abnormalities e.g. white matter changes and atrophy. Group D $(\mathrm{n}=16)$ had a normal NECT and an abnormal CECT. A total of 26 (1.37 \%) patients had a normal NECT and an abnormal CECT.

Other studies done on this topic are old and most of them conducted in the seventies. These studies' results cannot be applied in today's work environment as the CT scanners have changed remarkably in the recent years in terms of resolution and sensitivity to detect small lesions.

As with any drug, intravenous iodinated contrast media may have adverse reactions and is not without risk. Adverse reactions can be divided into general and organ specific reactions. Organ specific adverse effects are nephrotoxicity, cardiovascular, pulmonary and neurotoxicity ${ }^{13,14}$. General reactions can be subdivided into acute and delayed reactions, and acute reactions can be subdivided into mild, moderate and severe reactions. Mild reactions are nausea, vomiting, limited urticaria, extremity pain and have an incidence of $3 \%$ in nonionic iodinated intravenous contrast media (NICM). Moderate reactions have an incidence of $0.2-0.4 \%$ with NICM and are severe vomiting, extensive urticaria, dyspnoea, rigors and laryngeal oedema. Severe reactions like pulmonary oedema, hypotension, unconsciousness, cardiac arrest and arrhythmias are seen in $0.04 \%$ of NICM doses injected (Thomsen in Namasivayam ${ }^{13,14}$ ). A review of 48 fatal reactions was done by Wysowski et al ${ }^{15}$ and revealed renal failure $(58 \%)$ as the most common cause of death followed by anaphylaxis and allergy (19\%). Cardiopulmonary arrest (10\%), respiratory failure (8\%) and cerebrovascular incidents and hypoxia (4\%) accounted for a quarter of deaths ${ }^{15}$.

Delayed adverse reactions have a $2.8 \%$ prevalence ${ }^{16}$ and occur between 1 hour and 1 week post injection of iodinated intravenous contrast media. These reactions are most commonly skin reactions but nausea, vomiting, headache, joint pain and fever have been described ${ }^{13,14}$.

Extravasation of contrast media leads to burning pain, swelling, erythema and tenderness. In cases of extravasation of a large volume of contrast media blistering and sloughing of the skin may occur. In severe cases compartment syndrome may develop ${ }^{13,14}$.

Contrast induced nephropathy (CIN) is the most common organ specific adverse reaction and is the acute decline in renal function. CIN is generally defined as either an increase in serum
creatinine of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{dl}(44 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{l})$ or of $25 \%$ from the baseline within $48-72$ hours. Inpatients who develop CIN have a $22-35 \%$ mortality rate and have a higher risk of dying within 5 years. Patients who developed CIN also have an increased risk of developing chronic renal disease ${ }^{17}$.

Doing a NECT followed by a CECT will double the radiation exposure to the patient. Radiologists have the responsibility to keep Radiation exposure as low as reasonably achievable, also known as the ALARA principle. This is yet another reason for re-evaluating CT protocols in order to reduce unnecessary investigations leading to increased radiation exposure.

Every radiologist aspires to accurate work of a high standard. Unfortunately errors are part and parcel of daily work in general, and radiology reporting is no exception. There are many factors that play a role in reader error. These factors may not be the same for each person and practice and should be identified and managed to minimise risk to both the patient and radiologist. Inter reader variability has been demonstrated consistently in comparative studies and is a factor that could influence the results of NECT and CECT scan evaluation. Reader error can be classified as perceptual or cognitive errors. A perceptual error is when a feature is present on the image but not observed by the reader. Cognitive errors occur when the imaging feature is perceived but interpreted incorrectly. Perceptual errors are four times more common than cognitive errors ${ }^{18,19}$

Errors can also be classified into false positive and false negative errors ${ }^{18,19}$. False negative errors occur 5 times more commonly than false positive errors. Factors causing false positive and cognitive errors are more frequently due to a lack of radiological skill or knowledge than
to external factors. An incomplete history and unavailability of previous examinations can also play a role here. Perceptive and false negative errors are frequently due to external factors like poor viewing conditions, fatigue, poor quality examination and repeated interruptions causing a loss of concentration. ${ }^{19}$ A lack of clinical information and inadequate training in a subspecialist field may also contribute to reporting errors ${ }^{18}$.

Throughput of patients can be increased if CECT were omitted in well selected normal NECT cases. Doing both NECT and CECT will take longer than doing only a NECT, not only because one scan is omitted but also the time spent preparing and injecting contrast media is omitted. This will in turn also reduce the cost involved for both the department and the patient. The cost reduction includes servicing and electricity, radiographer time and contrast media costs.

With these multiple factors in mind a few questions arose. 1. How much pathology would be missed if a CECT was omitted in a patients with a normal NECT? 2. Should a cranial CT be regarded as incomplete if a CECT was omitted, with the exception of trauma? 3. Did the advances in CT change the detectability of pathology on a NECT enough to reduce the need for CECT? 4. Should we re-evaluate the use of iodine containing intravenous contrast media? 5. Can a CECT reduce reader error?

In many centres in South Africa CT is still the first line of diagnostic imaging investigation for intracranial pathology. For this reason these questions are still valid in today's work place. A diagnostically sound yet cost effective approach to cranial CT and the usage of intravenous iodinated contrast media with minimal risk to the patient is needed.

## Chapter 3

## Research Problem

Certain pathology is not visible on a NECT, but can be seen after a CECT ${ }^{4}$. Clinicians regularly request only a NECT of the brain. Intravenous iodinated contrast media pose a risk for adverse events e.g. contrast induced nephropathy and allergic reactions. Radiation exposure to the patient should be kept as low as reasonably achievable. Could including a CECT reduce the error rate in reporting cranial CT? Time and cost should also be considered in a busy department.

## Research Question

What is the incidence of missed pathology on a NECT reported as normal compared to a CECT?

## Aim

The aim of the study was to show the incidence of missed pathology on a normal NECT if a CECT would be omitted.

## Objective

The objective was to determine the incidence of missed pathology on normal NECT. Three possible outcomes exist namely:

1. The study may show a significant incidence of missed pathology; this may be used to educate clinicians to the importance of a CECT.
2. The rate of missed diagnosis may be so small that it may not justify the cost and risk to the patient to do a CECT. Such an outcome would have the consequence of reviewing the current radiology protocols.
3. The study results may be indeterminate.

## Structure of the Study

## 1. Study Design

This was a descriptive quantitative retrospective study of all patients who presented to the Dr George Mukhari Hospital's Diagnostic radiology department for a cranial NECT and CECT during the year 01 November 2006 to 31 October 2007. It commenced on the 1 January 2008.

## 2. Study Population

Patients of all ages who presented to the Dr George Mukhari Hospital's Radiology department for a cranial NECT and CECT over a one year period. These patients must have
met the inclusion criteria.

## 3. Sampling Procedures

In order to collect a large sample size convenience sampling was used. Therefore the records of all patients who received a cranial NECT and CECT and met the inclusion criteria was selected for the study. These records were evaluated. A large study population was preferable to increase the validity of the study. To achieve this in a limited time frame of one year evaluating the records of all the patients with NECT reported as normal would render the largest study population.

## 4. Sample Size

Statistics from the CT department of the Dr George Mukhari Hospital were evaluated over a four month period to determine the minimum sample size, from 28 February 2007 to 28 June 2007 ( 17 weeks). These statistics revealed that an average of 33 patients received a NECT and CECT per week, and included both normal and abnormal cranial CT scans done during this period. To estimate the percentage of normal cranial NECT literature was reviewed. During a study done by Cowan et al 380 patients were scanned of which 184 had normal cranial NECT $(48 \%)^{5}$. A similar study of 1357 patients conducted by Barrington et al had 562 normal cranial NECT (41\%) ${ }^{9}$. Assuming that a similar percentage of NECT (40\%) would be normal and a $5 \%$ rate of missed diagnosis, a minimum sample size of 521 patients was decided on using the following formula:
$n=\frac{z^{2} \hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{d^{2}}$
$n=\frac{2.33^{2} \times 0.4(1-0.4)}{0.05^{2}}=521$
$n$ is sample size
$z$ is from a standard table and is 2.33. It is related to the confidence level chosen namely 0.01 .
$\hat{p}$ is the proportion of normal NECT namely $40 \%$ thus 0.4 .
$d$ is the difference at an expected rate of $5 \%$ thus 0.05 .

## 5. Selection Criteria

## Inclusion criteria

Records of all patients, suspected to have had intracranial pathology, referred for NECT and CECT and presented at the Dr George Mukhari Hospital's Radiology department. Patients were only entered into the study once. Patients that had cerebral atrophy were included into a subgroup in the study. Patients must have had a normal reported NECT

## Exclusion criteria

The following patients were excluded from the study:

- Previous cranial radiotherapy
- Acute head injury
- Contraindication to intravenous iodine containing contrast media e.g. renal insufficiency
- Abnormal NECT
- Incomplete study or severe artefacts
- Clear white matter hypodensities
- Non physiological calcifications.


## Chapter 4

## Methodology

## 1. Data Collection

Records of all patients who had a NECT of the brain reported as normal followed by a CECT, at the Dr George Mukhari Hospital, were included in the study provided that they met the inclusion criteria. Records of patients who had cranial NECT and CECT were evaluated by the researcher and all cranial NECT reported as normal was collected for this study. Records of cranial CT scans done over a 12 month period were evaluated during this study. All cranial CT were done on a Toshiba Aquilion model TSX-101A multislice CT scan system. Records was retrieved and stored on an external hard drive. Scans were loaded onto the workstation used in the department for reporting CT scans. This computer has a high definition screen with $1600 \times 1200$ pixels and a high definition video card. Each patient received a study number which appeared on the data sheet with their initials.

In order to evaluate the efficacy of iodinated intravenous contrast media in the brain, only intracranial structures were evaluated. Bony abnormalities and pathology outside the skull was disregarded.

## 2. Data Evaluation

Data collected was evaluated by three readers namely the researcher and two consultants in
the Department of Radiology of the Dr George Mukhari Hospital. During the interpretation each reader completed a datasheet with the patient's study number, initials, age and interpretive data. The NECT and CECT were read at separate occasions and separate datasheets were completed. Readers did not have access to each other's interpretation or to a history. Readers also did not have access to their own interpretation of the NECT when the CECT was evaluated and visa versa. Two data sheets were thus completed on each patient by each reader one for NECT (Datasheet A) and one for CECT (Datasheet B). Data was transferred to a single datasheet after the evaluation of the cases (Data sheet C). The data was evaluated and analysed after the 3 readers had seen the cases individually. Interpretation discrepancies were resolved during a meeting between all 3 readers and consensus was reached. The readers also re-evaluated the cases with missed pathology on the NECT during this joint session. The NECT and CECT of these cases were evaluated and a decision was made as to the visibility of the pathology on the NECT. This would reflect reader error. Data was then amended according to the results of the joint reading session. The amended data was summarised in Data sheet D and analysed. Please refer to Appendix C for the format of the datasheets.

As the readers had to evaluate the brain CT scan during working hours and part of a normal working day (no dedicated research time was allocated for this research project), the readers evaluated the brain CT scan as work flow allowed over a period of 2.5 years. All the cranial NECT were evaluated before the cranial CECT. The joint meeting took place after all the brain CTs were evaluated. Between 10 and 50 scans were evaluated during a session.

Files of patients with missed pathology on NECT were retrieved and clinical information was reviewed. This was summarised in Table 3.

## 3. Bias

The selection criteria may create a bias as convenience sampling was done. Patients excluded by certain exclusion criteria for example a patient only receiving a NECT for whatever reason could have had impacted on the study. This potential impact was unknown. No history was available to the readers as a clinical history would have created an interpretive bias in the reader.

A possible bias exist as the main researcher selected the cases entered into the study initially. To decrease this bias the researcher excluded only clearly abnormal cranial NECT and cases with subtle abnormalities were included. If a NECT was then reported as abnormal by 2 or 3 readers it was regarded as abnormal.There was an interval of two years from selecting the cases for the study and the main researcher reading the CT scans for the study.

Two consultants from the Radiology department of Dr George Mukhari Hospital acted as first and second readers, these consultants was not involved in the planning of this study in order to minimise bias that could have been created by the knowledge of literature and results found by other similar studies. NECT and CECT was read at different times in order to reduce a possible bias created by reading two similar CT scans directly after each other. This also prevented the reader from reviewing his or her first evaluation of the NECT and prevented them from changing the datasheet.

## 4. Reliability

Reliability reflects the accuracy and consistency of the study ${ }^{20}$. A study was reliable when repeated by another investigator under the same conditions would yield the same results. Reliability was increased by using three readers.

## 5. Validity

The validity of a study is the extent to which a research design was scientifically sound ${ }^{20}$. It refers to the accuracy and credibility of the study. Internal validity is about the project itself and is dependent on the design and study methods used. It addresses the issue of whether there were extraneous variables responsible for variations in the dependant variable ${ }^{20}$. In this study a potential extraneous variable could have been the computer and monitor used by the reader to evaluate the cases as a high definition screen is used in the CT reporting room. If the reader would have read the case on a screen with fewer pixels the quality of the image would have been degraded and lesions could have been missed. In order to eradicate this variable readers were obliged to view cases on the workstation in the CT reporting room (refer to data collection). Another two extraneous variables were identified namely 1. The time relapsed between injection of iodinated contrast media and the CECT. With different doctors rotating through the CT department during the year there might have been a difference in the timing of the CECT after the injection of contrast media. This variable cannot be controlled as this was a retrospective study. The influence might not be important as the contrast media is still seen several minutes after the injection. Many departments make use of hand injection thus the time delay is often not a constant. 2. The volume of intravenous contrast media used in our protocol is 50 ml in adults or $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg}$ in children. There might have been a few cases that received a different amount of contrast media according to the doctor's discretion at the time of the CT. Reasons for this could be for instance an adult with a small stature coming for a CT. This variable could not have been controlled due to the retrospective study design. 3. Factors influencing the error rate in reporting e.g. work load. These factors are related to the work environment and could not be influenced.

External validity is the extent to which the conclusions reached can be generalized to the population studied ${ }^{20}$. Using a large sample size would have increased the external validity of this study.

## 6. Ethical Considerations

Permission was received from the superintendent of Dr George Mukhari Hospital as well as the Head of the department of Diagnostic Radiology and the MEDUNSA Research and Ethics committee (Clearance certificate can be found in Appendix A and the application form in Appendix B ). Since the patients were referred from different departments it was considered unnecessary to seek permission from the heads of the referring departments. All data was strictly confidential. Datasheets reflected only the patient's initials, age, gender, study number and date of CT scan.

## 7. Data Analysis

## (Please refer to Appendix D for data analysis forms)

Gender and age distribution were compiled.

Cranial CT scans were interpreted independently by three readers. The "outcome" for the NECT and CECT were entered in separate columns on datasheet C. If any single reader of the three readers used, interpreted a scan as abnormal, the scan was entered as "Undetermined". If two or three readers interpreted the scan as abnormal it was entered as "Abnormal". All three readers had to concur that a scan was normal before it was entered as a "Normal" scan. This was done for both NECT and CECT.

The grand total of the sample of patients, before a consensus was reached between readers, was referred to as Group A $(\mathrm{n}=726)$ (Datasheet C in appendix D). Group B $(\mathrm{n}=675)$ was a subgroup of patients without cerebral atrophy. A subgroup of patients was identified with gross cerebral atrophy and was referred to as Group $C(n=51)$. The "undetermined" cases of Group A were revaluated by the three readers at a joint reading session and consensus was reached. The assessments were adjusted accordingly. This "adjusted" data of Group A will be referred to as Group D $(\mathrm{n}=701)$ and was captured on Datasheet D in Appendix D.

The number of normal, abnormal and undetermined outcomes were counted within each group, using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS). A summary of the results is reflected in Table 1. The SAS printout sheets are contained in Appendix D. A breakdown of the missed pathologies on NECT is reflected in Table 2.

Records of cases with missed pathology on NECT from group D, were requested from archives. These records were reviewed and summarised in Table 3.

## Chapter 5

## Results

## (Please refer to Appendix D for Datasheets and SAS printouts)

A total of 992 patients scanned during a one year period were initially proposed as part of the study to reach a target of 520 . Based on the fact that this is a retrospective study on "normal cranial NECT" scans, on evaluation of the scans initially selected, it was found that there were abnormal features that presented and these were eliminated from the study. These abnormalities were calcifications, white matter hypodensities, cerebral oedema or space occupying lesions. Cases of gross atrophy alone were included in a subgroup as it was felt that atrophy alone should not influence the identification of pathology or abnormal enhancement on a CECT scan. The sample has thus been reduced to a total of 726 patients of which 51 were in the subgroup with gross atrophy.

The gender distribution for the total sample size was almost equal with $47.9 \%$ female and $48.4 \%$ male. Twenty seven (3.7\%) patients had an unknown gender as it was not recorded on the scan information. The age distribution was from 0.8 years to 83 years with a mean of 29.23 years.

Table 1: Summary of outcomes

| NECT | CECT | Group A | Group B | Group C | Group D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal | Abnormal | $16(2.20 \%)$ | $13(1.93 \%)$ | $3(5.88 \%)$ | $23(3.28 \%)$ |
| Undetermined | Abnormal | $10(1.38 \%)$ | $10(1.48 \%)$ | $0(0 \%)$ | 0 |
| Normal | Undetermined | $14(1.93 \%)$ | $11(1.63 \%)$ | $3(5.88 \%)$ | $2(0.28 \%)$ |
| Undetermined | Normal | $24(3.31 \%)$ | $20(2.96 \%)$ | $4(7.84 \%)$ | 0 |
| Undetermined | Undetermined | $8(1.10 \%)$ | $6(0.89 \%)$ | $2(3.92 \%)$ | 0 |
| Normal | Normal | $654(90.08 \%)$ | $615(91.11 \%)$ | $39(76.47 \%)$ | $676(96.43 \%)$ |
| Total |  | 726 | 675 | 51 | 701 |

Group $A$ is the total sample population before 3 readers reached consensus
Group B is the subgroup without cerebral atrophy
Group C Is the subgroup with gross cerebral atrophy
Group D is the total sample population after the 3 readers reached consensus.

Refer to Table 1 and subsequent graphs for a summary of the different outcomes in the following discussion:

Graph 1: Summary of outcomes for Group A


In Group A $(\mathrm{n}=726)($ refer to graph 1$)$ a total of $16(2.2 \%)$ were reported as normal by all three readers on the NECT and abnormal CECT by 2 or 3 readers. There were 10 cases (1.38\%) where only one reader reported an abnormality on the NECT and 2 or 3 readers reported it abnormal on the CECT. In 24 cases (3.31\%) in Group A one reader reported an abnormal finding on the NECT but after a CECT the case was reported as normal. There were 8 out of 726 cases where only one reader reported an abnormal NECT and CECT. Fourteen cases where reported normal by all the readers and abnormal by only one reader.

Graph 2: Summary of outcomes for Group B


Group B $(\mathrm{n}=675)($ refer to Graph 2: Group B) were patients without cerebral atrophy. In this group 13 (1.93\%) cases were normal on NECT (reported by all 3 readers) and abnormal on

CECT (reported by $2 / 3$ readers). There were 10 ( $1.48 \%$ ) reported abnormal by 1 reader on NECT and subsequently abnormal CECT by 2 or 3 readers. There were 11 cases seen as normal on the NECT (all readers) and abnormal by only one reader on the CECT. In 20 (2.96\%) the NECT was reported as abnormal by one reader and then a normal CECT by all readers, and 8 cases were read as abnormal on both NECT and CECT by only one reader.

Graph 3: Summary of outcomes for Group C


In Group C $(\mathrm{n}=51)($ refer to Graph 3: Group C) 3 cases $(5.88 \%)$ were reported normal on the NECT by all three readers and abnormal on the CECT. There were 3 cases reported normal on NECT by all readers and Abnormal on CECT by only one reader. In 4 cases one reader reported the NECT as abnormal and all readers reported these as normal on CECT. Two
cases were reported as abnormal on NECT and CECT by only one reader

Graph 4: Summary of outcomes for Group D


Group D (refer to Graph 4: Group D ) is the total sample population after the 3 readers had reached a consensus. The data was amended as follows, after the joint reading session: 25 cases were removed from the study as they were regarded to have an abnormal NECT after consensus was reached. The grand total of cases was thus reduced to 701 . There were 23 cases $(3.28 \%)$ reported as normal on NECT and abnormal on CECT. These 23 cases were reviewed again retrospectively by the 3 readers at the joint session. Thirteen (1.85\%) of these cases had an abnormal finding identified retrospectively and ten (1.42\%) cases were still deemed normal on NECT. Consensus could not be reached on 2 cases ( $0.28 \%$ ); these cases
were reported as abnormal by only one reader on CECT. The amended data is reflected in Datasheet D (Appendix D).

The diagnosis of the missed pathologies from Group D were meningitis (7 cases), abscess and empyema ( 1 case), meningioma ( 2 cases), venous sinus thrombosis ( 2 cases), vascular abnormality or malformation (1 case), infarcts (2 cases) and pituitary lesions (4 cases), enhancing nodules ( 3 cases), neurocystisercosis and a middle cranial fossa lesion in a patient with neurofibromatosis. A breakdown of these cases is given in Table 2, Graph 5 and 6.

Table 2: Summary of missed diagnosis as made on CECT in Group D (after consensus was

|  |  | All missed cases | Cases with pathology not visible on NECT retrospectively |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infective | Meningeal enhancement | 7* | 4* |
|  | Empyema/ Abscess | 1 |  |
| Vascular | Arteriovenous malformation | 1 |  |
|  | Dural Venous Sinus Thrombosis | 2 | 2 |
| Ischaemic | Lacunar Infarct | 2 |  |
| Neoplastic | Meningioma | 2 | 1 |
|  | Pituitary mass | 1* |  |
|  | Pituitary microadenoma | 2 | 1 |
| Other | Pituitary stalk thickened | 1 |  |
|  | Enhancing nodule/(s) | 3 | 3 |
|  | Neurocystisercosis | 1 |  |
|  | Middle cranial fossa lesion | 1 |  |
| Total: |  | 24 | 11 |

*One case had dual pathology: Meningitis and a pituitary mass

Graph 5: Summary of all cases with a missed diagnosis on NECT


Graph 6: Summary of cases with missed pathology not visible on NECT


Records of the 23 cases from Group D with missed pathology on NECT were requested from archives, but only 15 files could be retrieved. Reviewing the records revealed 9 out of 15 cases had some history or clinical finding that would alert the radiologist to the need for a CECT i.e. 2 cases with recorded fever, 3 with focal signs, 3 with confusion, 1 was dehydrated, 3 with meningism and 3 with convulsions. In the group of patients where the pathology was not visible retrospectively on the NECT 7 out of 10 files were retrieved and 5 of these had some clinical indication for a CECT. Pathology missed in this group was meningeal enhancement, dural venous sinus thrombosis, pituitary microadenoma, meningioma and small enhancing nodules. Table 3 provides a summary of the information available in the files.

Table 3: Information retrieved from files (Group D)

|  | Initials | age |  | Date | Fever | Focal signs | Confusion | Dehydration | Meningism | Convulsion | Other | Diagnosis | Was lesion visible on NECT retrospectively |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 144 | MA | 70 | F | 30/11/2006 | no | yes | unknown | unknown | unknown |  | headache, proptosis right, conjunctiva congested, bruit | right caroticocavernous fistula | yes |
| 320 | DJ | 73 | F | 03/05/2007 | no | no | yes | yes | unknown |  |  | transverse sinus thrombosis | no |
| 350 | SC | 32 | M | 25/05/2007 | no | no | no | no | unknown |  | low potassium |  | yes |
| 359 | MA | 28 | F | 29/05 | unknown | unknown | unknown | unknown | unknown |  | Galactorrhoea, infertility | Prolactinoma | yes |
| 363 | NA | 20 | F | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 / 05 / \\ & 2007 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | unknown | no | no | unknown | unknown | yes | convulsion, headache |  | yes |
| 462 | TFM | 44 | F | 13/07/2007 | no | unknown | no | unknown | unknown |  | blocked Left ear, painfull neck | left mastoiditis, Left internal jugular thrombosis extending up to sigmoid and transverse dural venous sinuses | no |
| 584 | BT | 1 | M | 03/09/2007 | yes | no | yes/ irritable | unknown | yes |  | delayed milestones | meningitis, cerebral oedema | no |
| 585 | HG | 40 | F | 03/09/2007 | unknown | no | no | no | no | yes | headache, seizures, dizziness, loss of balance | pituitary micro adenoma | no |
| 695 | ME | 53 | F | 06/10 | no | no | yes | unknown | yes |  |  | cryptococcal meningitis | yes |
| 775 | MS | 34 | F | 13/12/2006 | unknown | yes visual defect | no | unknown | unknown |  | headache | pituitary mass | yes |
| 832 | CB | 19 | M | 8/1/2007 | no | unknown | no | unknown | unknown | yes |  | 2 enhancing nodules on CT | no |
| 838 | SJ | 22 | M | 10/1/2007 | no | yes | no | unknown | yes |  |  | Ring enhancing lesions -->TB | yes |
| 934 | ME | 54 | F | 14/02/2007 | no | no | no | unknown | unknown |  | headache, periodic loss of sense of smell | olfactory groove meningioma | no |
| 964 | MD | 10 | M | 26/02/2007 | yes | unknown | no | unknown | unknown |  | ?hallucinating, lethargic | meningitis, ?cavernous sinus thrombosis | no |
| 978 | BP | 16 | F | 28/2/2007 | no | unknown | unknown | unknown | unknown |  | Neurofibroma on right side of face. Neurofibromatosis 1 | Cavernous sinus mass? <br> Meningioma | yes |
| 52 | PE | 71 | F | 9/11/2006 | No inform | ion prior to 2 | in the file |  |  |  |  |  | yes |
| 190 | MW | 27 | F | 17/03/2007 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  | yes |
| 295 | MS | 12 | M | 21/04/2007 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  | yes |
| 418 | AM | 30 | M | 26/06/2007 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  | yes |
| 529 | ML | 44 | F | 07/08/2007 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 642 | MP | 44 | M | 18/09 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  | no |
| 814 | MM | 60 | F | 2/1/2007 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  | no |
| 884 | KJ |  | F | 29/01/2007 | file not ret | eved |  |  |  |  |  |  | yes |

## Chapter 6

## Discussion of Results

Patient with atrophy was included in the study as it was felt that atrophy per se would not influence the enhancement characteristics of pathology in the brain. It was however included as a subgroup because it could be argued that a patient with atrophy of the brain could present with dementia or confusion and the cranial NECT could not be reported as a normal scan. The subgroup was created to determine the influence it had on the results and to be able to remove it from the sample if it skewed the results. Including the Cases in subgroup B increased the percentage for the group of patients with: "Normal NECT/Abnormal CECT" from 1.93 to 2.2. This was not statistically significant and did not skew the results. Including patients with cerebral atrophy in the study sample did not change the results significantly.

After consensus was reached $3.28 \%$ ( 23 of 701 cases from Group D) had missed pathology on NECT. This number decreased to $1.42 \%$ ( 10 of 701 cases) when viewed retrospectively. There was a combined reader error rate of $1.85 \%$. We have to keep in mind that these cases were evaluated with no clinical information available to the readers. A history would help the radiologist evaluating the CT , providing clues to specific areas to evaluate for pathology and guiding him/her to the need for a CECT.

The pathology missed in this study (Table 2) can be classified into one of four main groups namely 1 ) Infective: meningitis, empyema, abscesses. 2) Vascular: arteriovenous malformations, dural venous sinus thrombosis. 3) Neoplasms: meningioma, pituitary lesions 4) Ischaemic events: infarcts, lacunar infarcts. In many of these a clinical history would have guided the radiologist to the need for a post contrast CT, however if conditions like abscesses or neoplasms are missed it can have dire results for the patient.
these patients had a history, or clinical findings, that would have alerted the radiologist to the indication for a CECT i.e. fever, focal signs, confusion, meningism and convulsions. This demonstrates the absolute necessity of an accurate history. The history should be considered when the decision is made on whether a CECT is indicated or not. If contrast media is then given in patients with apparent normal cranial NECT, when indicated according to the history and clinical findings, the incidence of potentially missed pathology on NECT can be reduced remarkably.

Although a history will guide the radiologist in deciding whether a CECT is indicated, in many departments however, clinical information provided on request forms are incomplete, inaccurate or absent ${ }^{9}$ and the patient or family may not be able to provide the necessary information needed. This was evident in the number of unknown findings when the records were reviewed (see table 3). These cases may provide a challenge to the radiologist.

In 24 cases (3.31\%) from Group A one reader reported the NECT study as abnormal but after the administration of contrast media reported it as normal. This demonstrates that in some cases contrast media will help to eliminate false positive cases, or help to confirm a normal scan in an equivocal NECT. This was also demonstrated in the study done by Fayaz et al ${ }^{3}$.

There were 14 (1.93\%) cases from Group A where only one reader reported the CECT as abnormal and 8 ( $1.10 \%$ ) cases where one reader reported both NECT and CECT as abnormal. This discrepancy between readers demonstrates that reporting radiological images is very subjective and dependant on multiple factors. Pattern recognition in diagnostic radiology is a challenge and despite having had 3 readers per case it is evident that making a conclusive diagnosis is not always possible ${ }^{9}$. Missed diagnosis may be attributed to several factors namely: 1) Pathology may be isodense on NECT to the surrounding parenchymal structures. 2) There may be no secondary signs indicating pathology. In most cases the pathology per se might not be visible on NECT but because of secondary signs i.e. oedema or mass effect, the radiologist will identify that there is pathology present. 3) Cognitive reader errors can occur when the radiologist sees an abnormal
finding but interpret it as a normal variant or a normal structure. 4) Perceptive reader errors occur when the abnormal finding is overlooked. There are many factors that will influence the accuracy of a radiologist's report. Some of these factors are poor quality examination, fatigue, failure to consult previous investigations, incomplete/ inaccurate clinical history, and poor viewing conditions. Aberrations identified in our study could have been repeated interruptions and distractions, fatigue from reading multiple cranial CT in short periods of time and long periods between reading sessions due to workload and shortage of staff and workstations. However as this was not the aim of the study, these factors were not investigated. We have to keep in mind that most of these factors are present in most departments and therefore the results of the study can be generalised to all radiology departments.

## Chapter 7

## Summary

In a technologically advanced world with MRI as gold standard for imaging intracranial pathology, the CT scan has not outlived its use yet. In many centres MRI is not readily available and CT remains the first line investigation. The technology of the CT scanner itself has also improved remarkably. It is generally the belief that intravenous iodinated contrast media adds to the diagnostic capabilities of a CT scan. Unfortunately the use there of is not without risk to the patient and we should always keep the risk-benefit ratio in mind when making this decision. For this reason we are sometimes faced with the question whether or not to give iodinated intravenous contrast media. In this study $3.28 \%$ of cases had pathology missed by 3 readers on the NECT and an additional $1.38 \%$ had pathology missed by 2 readers on NECT. Although retrospective viewing is not standard practice in radiology it was done to determine the reader error rate in this study. This resulted in a reduction of the "missed pathology rate" from $3.28 \%$ to $1.42 \%$ indicating a reader error of $1.85 \%$. Bear in mind that readers were blinded to the clinical history. This incidence of missed pathology is similar to previous studies ${ }^{8,12}$. Having a thorough medical history of the patient and selecting those with an indication for a CECT will reduce the incidence of missed pathology. Patients with a normal NECT and no fever, meningism, confusion, focal or lateralizing signs, a history of tuberculosis or tumours, or risk factors for dural venous sinus thrombosis has a very small chance of missed pathology on NECT. The risk of contrast media induced adverse events outweighs the chance of missing pathology on a normal NECT provided there is no clinical indication necessitating a CECT.

Reducing the amount of CECT done in the department will in turn reduce the radiation exposure to the population and it will reduce the number of scans the CT department will have to perform. Less intravenous iodinated contrast media will be used in the department. These two factors will ultimately reduce the running cost of the CT department. The incidence of contrast media induced complication will be lower because a smaller number of patients will receive iodinated intravenous contrast media. It will also reduce
the scan time of a large number of patients and thus the turnover of patients scanned can be increased.

## Recommendations

The following new protocol is recommended for cranial CT investigations at Dr George Mukhari Hospital:

1) Patients with an abnormal NECT should receive a CECT.
2) Patients with the following clinical findings or history should receive a CECT:
a. Fever
b. Acute confusion.
c. Meningism
d. Focal /lateralizing signs.
e. Risk factors for dural venous sinus thrombosis e.g. episode of dehydration and hypercoagulable states.
f. A history suggestive of a vascular abnormality or subarachnoid haemorrhage.
g. History of a malignancy elsewhere.
h. History of Tuberculosis.
i. Convulsions (ideally these patients should receive a MRI)
3) Patients with a normal NECT and none of the above mentioned clinical findings or history, or any other indication for a CECT, do not need a CECT. Clinicians should reassess the patient and consider requesting a MRI if a structural abnormality is still considered.
4) Alternatively the NECT could be omitted and only a CECT could be performed to reduce the radiation dose.

Regular risk assessment and management is recommended in order to reduce reporting errors. Factors to address are staff shortages, workload, repeated interruption and the availability of consultants. A complete clinical history is vital and clinicians should be encouraged to provide a comprehensive history. A prospective study can be done to assess the risk for reporting error in the department in order to address this
and reduce reporting errors.
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## Datasheet A

NONCONTRAST CT
Study number: $\qquad$
Initials: $\qquad$
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Gender: $\qquad$
CT Normal/ Abnormal: $\qquad$
Diagnosis: $\qquad$
Reader signature: $\qquad$
Date of evaluation: $\qquad$

## Datasheet B

## CONTRAST ENHANCED CT

Study number: $\qquad$
Date of scan: $\qquad$
Age: $\qquad$
Gender: $\qquad$
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Indirect signs (e.g. oedema, mass effect): $\qquad$

Pathology: Focal / Diffuse / Nonspecific
Diagnosis: $\qquad$
CT Normal/ Abnormal: $\qquad$
Reader signature: $\qquad$
Date of evaluation: $\qquad$

## Appendix D: Presentation of Results
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post_ READER_2 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL NORMAL | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 717 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.24 \\ 98.76 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 726 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.24 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
| Post_READER_3 |  |  |  |  |
| Post_ READER_3 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL | 35 | 4.82 | 35 | 4.82 |
| NORMAL | 691 | 95.18 | 726 | 100.00 |
| Post_OUTCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Post_OUTCOME | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL | 26 | 3.58 | 26 | 3.58 |
| NORMAL | 678 | 93.39 | 704 | 96.97 |
| Undetermined | 22 | 3.03 | 726 | 100.00 |




| MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010 <br> PROGRAM FILE RIA.sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING.dat <br> PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP D PRINTOUT NUMBER 5 <br> The FREQ Procedure |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRE READER_ $1$ | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| NORMAL | 701 | $100.00$ <br> PRE_READER | $701$ | 100.00 |
| PRE_ READER_ 2 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| NORMAL | 701 | 100.00 PRE_READE | $701$ | 100.00 |
| PRE READER_ 3 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| NORMAL | 701 | 100.00 | 701 | 100.00 |
| PRE_OUTCOME |  |  |  |  |
| PRE OUTCOME | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| NORMAL | 701 | 100.00 | 701 | 100.00 |
| POST_READER_1 |  |  |  |  |
| POST_ READER_1 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL | 23 | 3.28 | 23 | 3.28 |
| NORMAL | 678 | 96.72 | 701 | 100.00 |


| MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010 <br> PROGRAM FILE RIA.sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING.dat <br> PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP D <br> PRINTOUT NUMBER 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POST_ <br> READER_2 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL NORMAL | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.00 \\ 98.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 701 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.00 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
| POST_READER_3 |  |  |  |  |
| POST_ <br> READER_3 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL NORMAL | 21 680 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.00 \\ 97.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 21 \\ 701 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.00 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
| POST_OUTCOME |  |  |  |  |
| POST_OUTCOME | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL | 23 | 3.28 | 23 | 3.28 |
| NORMAL | 676 | 96.43 | 699 | 99.71 |
| Undetermined | 2 | 0.29 | 701 | 100.00 |

```
            MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010
    PROGRAM FILE RIA.sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING.dat
        PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP C
                PRINTOUT NUMBER 4
                The FREQ Procedure
                    PRE_READER_1
```

| PRE_- | Prequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| ABNORMAL | 6 | 11.76 | 6 | 11.76 |
| NORMAL | 45 | 88.24 | 51 | 100.00 |

                            PRE_READER_2
    | PRE_- <br> READER_ <br> 2 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAL | 51 | 100.00 | 51 | 100.00 |


| PRE_- | PRE_READER_3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| PREADER_ <br> 3 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| NORMAL | 51 | 100.00 | 51 | 100.00 |

    PRE_OUTCOME
    | PRE_OUTCOME | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAL | 45 | 88.24 | 45 | 88.24 |
| Undetermined | 6 | 11.76 | 51 | 100.00 |


| POST_ <br> READER_1 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ABNORMAL | 4 | 7.84 | 4 | 7.84 |
| ABNORMA1 | 1 | 1.96 | 5 | 9.80 |
| NORMAL | 46 | 90.20 | 51 | 100.00 |


| MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010 <br> PROGRAM FILE RIA.sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING. dat <br> PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP C PRINTOUT NUMBER 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| POST_ READER_2 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL NORMAL | 1 50 | 1.96 98.04 | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.96 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
| POST_READER_3 |  |  |  |  |
| POST_ READER_3 | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Frequency | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL NORMAL | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.80 \\ 90.20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 51 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.80 \\ 100.00 \end{array}$ |
| POST_OUTCOME |  |  |  |  |
| POST_OUTCOME Frequency Percent Frequency |  |  |  | Cumulative Percent |
| ABNORMAL | 3 | 5.88 | 3 | 5.88 |
| NORMAL | 43 | 84.31 | 46 | 90.20 |
| Undetermined | 5 | 9.80 | 51 | 100.00 |



| PRE_OUTCOME | PRE | The SAS <br> ND POST CON PRINTOUT <br> The FREQ P <br> Frequency | tem <br> GROUP <br> R 1 <br> edure <br> Percent | 16:23 Monday, December 22, 2008 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAL | ABNORMAL | 3 | 5.88 | 3 | 5.88 |
| NORMAL | NORMAL | 39 | 76.47 | 42 | 82.35 |
| NORMAL | Undetermined | 3 | 5.88 | 45 | 88.24 |
| Undetermined | NORMAL | 4 | 7.84 | 49 | 96.08 |
| Undetermined | Undetermined | 2 | 3.92 | 51 | 100.00 |


| MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010 <br> PROGRAM FILE RIA.sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING.dat <br> PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP A PRINTOUT NUMBER 2 <br> The FREQ Procedure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAL | ABNORMAL | 16 | 2.20 | 16 | 2.20 |
| NORMAL | NORMAL | 654 | 90.08 | 670 | 92.29 |
| NORMAL | Undetermined | 14 | 1.93 | 684 | 94.21 |
| Undetermined | ABNORMAL | 10 | 1.38 | 694 | 95.59 |
| Undetermined | NORMAL | 24 | 3.31 | 718 | 98.90 |
| Undetermined | Undetermined | 8 | 1.10 | 726 | 100.00 |


| MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010 <br> PROGRAM FILE RIA.sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING.dat <br> PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP B PRINTOUT NUMBER 3 <br> The FREQ Procedure |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORMAL | ABNORMAL | 13 | 1.93 | 13 | 1.93 |
| NORMAL | NORMAL | 615 | 91.11 | 628 | 93.04 |
| NORMAL | Undetermined | 11 | 1.63 | 639 | 94.67 |
| Undetermined | ABNORMAL | 10 | 1.48 | 649 | 96.15 |
| Undetermined | NORMAL | 20 | 2.96 | 669 | 99.11 |
| Undetermined | Undetermined | 6 | 0.89 | 675 | 100.00 |

MEDUNSA R MINNE: 2010
PROGRAM FILE RIA. sas *** DATA FILE DATA RECORDING.dat PRE AND POST CONTRAS: GROUP D PRINTOUT NUMBER 5

The FREQ Procedure

| PRE_OUTCOME | POST_OUTCOME | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative <br> Frequency | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NORMAL | ABNORMAL | 23 | 3.28 | 23 | 3.28 |
| NORMAL | NORMAL | 676 | 96.43 | 699 | 99.71 |
| NORMAL | Undetermined | 2 | 0.29 | 701 | 100.00 |



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 |  |  |  |
| 64 | TW | 59 | M | 13/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 69 | MM | 52 | M | 15/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 72 | MN | 9 | F | 15/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 75 | MT | 28 | M | 16/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 77 | MS | 25 | M | 16/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 78 | MJ | 33 | F | 16/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 79 | MM | 36 | F | 17/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 83 | MK | 16 | F | 17/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 84 | UM | 45 | M | 17/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 85 | SL | 45 | F | 19/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 88 | MB | 0 | F | 20/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 91 | SN | 2 | M | 20/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 104 | SM | 38 | M | 22/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 106 | MJ | 22 | M | 22/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 110 | ME | 34 | M | 23/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 115 | MN | 53 | M | 24/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 122 | NL | 31 | M | 24/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 123 | LF | 62 | F | 25/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 124 | SA | 13 | F | 25/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 125 | KS | 28 | M | 25/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 130 | MW | 37 | M | 27/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 132 | TJ | 56 | F | 28/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 136 | MT | 31 | F | 28/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 140 | WN | 30 | F | 29/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 141 | HB | 32 | M | 30/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 143 | MA | 30 | M | 30/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 144 | MA | 70 | F | 30/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | AVM |
| 145 | SM | 27 | F | 1/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 146 | MG | 37 | F | 2/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDY NO | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 107 B | ML | 43 | F | 3/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 148 | BT | 4 | M | 4/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 149 | PV | 16 | F | 4/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 154 | NJ | 2 | M | 5/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 155 | AT | 2 | M | 5/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 156 | MS | 40 | M | 5/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 157 | DA | 10 | M | 5/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 158 | MH | 2 | M | 5/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 159 | MJ | 18 | M | 6/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 160 | DB | 28 | M | 6/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Meningitis |
| 161 | MS | 2 | M | 6/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 162 | ST | 60 | F | 8/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 163 | DC | 38 | F | 8/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 164 | MM | 20 | F | 8/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 165 | NE | 54 | F | 8/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | White Matter Hypodensity |
| 166 | MC | ? | ? | 8/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 167 | MZ | 41 | F | 8/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 168 | ML | 13 | M | 8/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 169 | TL | 44 | F | 8/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 170 | MN | 13 | F | 8/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 171 | MS | 49 | F | 10/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 172 | MT | 9 MO | M | 12/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 173 | JM | 34 | M | 13/3/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 174 | MS | 38 | F | 13/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 175 | PM | 27 | F | 13/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 177 | MM | 32 | M | 13/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 179 | GM | 66 | M | 13/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 180 | RE | 77 | F | 14/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 181 | MK | 8 | F | 14/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 182 | RK | 36 | M | 14/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 184 | TL | 34 | M | 15/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 186 | MS | 38 | F | 15/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 187 | ME | 39 | M | 15/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 189 | NMJ | 43 | F | 17/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 190 | MW | 27 | F | 17/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |
| 192 | MW | 45 | M | 19/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 193 | TP | 7 | M | 19/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 194 | MA | 2 | M | 19/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 197 | EY | 17 | M | 20/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 198 | MS | 44 | M | 21/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 199 | MM | 19 | M | 21/03/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 200 | KK | 19 | M | 22/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 201 | NV | 50 | F | 22/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 202 | MK | 17 | M | 22/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 204 | MY | 44 | F | 22/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 205 | KF | 58 | M | 23/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 206 | TN | 70 | F | 23/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 207 | MML | 29 | F | 23/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 211 | RB | 4 | F | 23/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 212 | SM | 44 | F | 23/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 213 | NN |  | F | 26/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 215 | MA | 7 | F | 26/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 216 | SV | 52 | F | 26/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 217 | MK | 3 | M | 26/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 220 | ML | 47 | F | 27/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 221 | H | 25 | F | 27/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 222 | KM | 71 | F | 27/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 223 | RM | 18 | F | 28/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 224 | MG | 7 | M | 28/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 225 | MD | 60 | M | 28/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 226 | MJ | 18 | M | 29/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 227 | MP | 28 | M | 29/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 228 | BM | 36 | F | 29/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 229 | NA | 6 | F | 29/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 230 | BF | 52 | M | 29/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 231 | MP | 5 | F | 30/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 232 | MA | 48 | F | 30/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 233 | MT | 41 | M | 31/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 236 | MG | 42 | M | 02/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 239 | MF | 30 | M | 03/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 241 | MR | 23 | M | 04/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 242 | so | 5 | M | 04/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 244 | MJ | 31 | M | 04/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | Abnormal Pituitary fossa | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | Abnormal Pituitary fossa |
| 245 | MF | 71 | F | 04/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 246 | SA | 51 | M | 04/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 249 | MR | 18 | M | 05/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 250 | MA | 31 | M | 05/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 252 | ME | 57 | F | 06/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 253 | GJ | 39 | M | 06/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 255 | SH | 62 | F | 08/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 256 | LM | 27 | F | 08/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 257 | SH | 37 | M | 09/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 258 | MW | 63 | M | 09/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 259 | KM | 8 | F | 09/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 261 | ML | 22 | M | 10/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 262 | MG | 7 | F | 10/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 263 | JS | 37 | F | 10/04/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |




|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 348 | L | 1 | M | 24/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 349 | RI | 11 | F | 24/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 351 | MO | 5 | M | 26/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 353 | MO | 11MO | M | 28/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 354 | KB | 17 | M | 28/05/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Large Cisterna Magna | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 355 | MS | 8 | M | 29/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 357 | M | 13 | F | 29/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 358 | SP | 30 | M | 29/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 359 | MA | 28 | F | 29/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | Pituitary fossa lesion |
| 362 | VN | 38 | F | 30/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 363 | NA | 20 | F | 30/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Pituitalry stalk thickened |
| 365 | SL | 18 | F | 30/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 366 | MK | 10 | M | 31/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 368 | BV | 32 | M | 31/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 369 | ME | 46 | F | 31/05/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 372 | U | 32 | M | 01/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 373 | ML | 1 | F | 02/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 374 | NG |  | F | 02/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 375 | SL | 30 | M | 03/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 377 | AT | 13 | M | 04/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 378 | PS | 48 | M | 04/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 379 | BC | 22 | F | 05/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 380 | MT | 16 | M | 05/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 381 | KK | 3 | M | 06/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 383 | MG | 40 | F | 06/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 384 | ML | 20 | M | 07/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 385 | SM | 44 | F | 07/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 386 | KJ | 24 | F | 08/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
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| 387 | GS | 11 | M | 11/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 388 | SI | 8 | M | 11/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 389 | MB | 8MO | F | 13/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 390 | MK | 19 | F | 13/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 391 | TE | 53 | F | 15/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 392 | PP | 35 | M | 17/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 393 | NK | 4 | F | 18/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 395 | MC | 35 | F | 18/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 397 | MW | 26 | F | 18/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 398 | JJ | 55 | M | 18/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 399 | CS | 6 | M | 19/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 400 | MI | 45 | F | 19/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 401 | MJ | 21 | F | 19/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 402 | MJ | 32 | M | 19/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 403 | DK | 22 | M | 20/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 404 | KT | 17 | M | 20/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 405 | MS | 73 | M | 20/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 406 | MM | 37 | F | 20/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 407 | NA | 38 | F | 20/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 408 | MK | 14 | F | 21/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 409 | MJ | 36 | F | 22/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 411 | SN | 10 | F | 22/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 413 | BS | 45 | F | 24/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 414 | TM | 43 | M | 24/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 415 | MJ | 29 | F | 26/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 417 | TE | 32 | F | 26/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 418 | AM | 30 | M | 26/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Lacunar infarct |
| 419 | MJ | 65 | M | 26/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 420 | SF | 45 | F | 27/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
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| 462 | TFM | 44 | F | 13/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Sigmoid sinus thrombosis |
| 465 | MG | 34 | M | 16/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 466 | BM | 9 | F | 16/07/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 467 | BI | 51 | F | 18/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 468 | MO | 1 | F | 18/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 470 | MJ | 36 | F | 19/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 473 | RV | 25 | F | 20/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 474 | MN | 1 | F | 20/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 475 | L | 33 | F | 20/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 477 | TA | 28 | F | 21/07/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 480 | TL | 46 | M | 23/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 481 | MJ | 37 | M | 23/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 482 | PR | 28 | M | 24/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 484 | MC | 51 | F | 24/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 485 | CM | 38 | M | 25/07/2007 | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Thickened Meninges | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 489 | KB | 54 | M | 26/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 490 | MB | 8 | F | 26/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 493 | SR | 48 | F | 27/06/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 494 | PJ | 53 | M | 27/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 495 | MT | 36 | F | 27/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 497 | BB | 1 | M | 27/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 498 | RE | 27 | F | 27/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 500 | NT | 12 | M | 30/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 501 | MD | 13 | F | 30/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 502 | MJ | 24 | M | 30/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 503 | JT | 44 | F | 30/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 504 | MT | 20 | F | 31/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 507 | DK | 8 | M | 01/08/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 508 | JS | 36 | F | 01/08/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
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| 584 | BT | 1 | M | 03/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |
| 585 | HG | 40 | F | 03/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Pituitary microadenoma |
| 586 | MC | 38 | M | 04/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 587 | NZ | 28 | F | 04/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 588 | MP | 21 | F | 04/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 589 | MM | 57 | F | 04/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 590 | MM | 30 | F | 04/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 591 | KR | 52 | f | 05/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 592 | MA | 38 | F | 05/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 593 | SD | 52 | M | 05/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 594 | MJ | 15 | F | 05/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Colpocephaly | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 595 | MMM | 51 | F | 06/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 596 | MK | 5 | M | 06/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 597 | KL | 34 | F | 06/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 600 | LM | 18 | M | 07/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 603 | SC | 30 | F | 08/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 604 | MM |  |  | 10/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 605 | TM | 6 | M | 10/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 606 | MN | 2MO | F | 10/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 654 | MO | 20 | M | 10/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 607 | PV | 32 | M | 10/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 609 | MRS | 61 | F | 10/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 612 | PK | 22 | M | 11/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 613 | MP | 41 | F | 11/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 614 | NP | 2 | M | 11/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 615 | NA | 26 | M | 11/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 616 | VH | 65 | M | 11/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 617 | NG | 18 | F | 12/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 618 | MP | 59 | F | 12/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 619 | NT | 33 | F | 13/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 620 | RSS | 37 | F | 13/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 621 | MA |  | M | 13/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 622 | MK |  | M | 14/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 623 | MJ | 30 | M | 14/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 624 | MT | 14 | M | 14/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | AVM |
| 625 | MH | 33 | M | 14/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 626 | MR |  | F | 14/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 627 | SD | 1 | M | 14/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 629 | MP | 26 | F | 16/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 631 | KT | 28 | M | 17/09/2007 | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Abscess |
| 632 | MS | 19 | M | 17/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 633 | MR | 33 | F | 17/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 634 | MG | 7 | F | 17/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 635 | PA | 63 | M | 17/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 636 | SE | 24 | F | 17/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 637 | MA | 23 | F | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 638 | MM | 28 | F | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 639 | MK | 5 | M | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 640 | KL | 12 | F | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 641 | MM | 6 | F | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 642 | MP | 44 | M | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | Enhancing nodule |
| 643 | BG | 37 | M | 18/09/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Lacunar infarct and White matter changes |
| 644 | MX | 5 | M | 18/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 645 | NT | 18 | F | 19/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 646 | MN | 4 | F | 19/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 647 | DD | 47 | M | 19/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 648 | KT | 15 | M | 19/09/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |



|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDY NO | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 687 | MT | 15 | M | 04/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 688 | TS | 2 | M | 04/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 690 | NO | 27 | F | 04/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 691 | MS | 51 | F | 05/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 694 | BT | 2 | M | 05/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 695 | ME | 53 | F | 06/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Cystic Lesion |
| 698 | RA |  | M | 09/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 700 | MT | 5 | M | 10/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 702 B | SM | 45 | F | 10/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 701 | AP | 6 | F | 10/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 703 | BJ | 3 | F | 11/'10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 704 | LM | 35 | M | 11/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 705 | MT | 31 | M | 11/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 706 | ME | 36 | F | 11/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 707 | MS | 27 | M | 11/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 711 | LS | 12 | M | 13/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 712 | MM | 16 | F | 13/10/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | ?Lacunar infarct | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 714 | MT | 25 | M | 15/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 715 | CE | 24 | F | 15/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 717 | MK | 18 | M | 17/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 718 | MPT | 44 | F | 17/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 720 | MK | 16 | M | 17/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 721 | MA | 32 | F | 18/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 723 | MB | 4 | F | 20/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 724 | MD | 36 | F | 20/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 726 | GM | 48 | F | 20/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 728 | ME | 55 | F | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 729 | ML | 60 | M | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 730 | TM | 34 | F | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 731 | MO | 2 | M | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 732 | ES | 25 | F | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 733 | CO | 31 | M | 23/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 734 | AP | 2 | M | 23/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 736 | MM | 22 | F | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 737 | MP | 4 | M | 22/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 738 | BJ | 38 | M | 23/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 741 | NP | 17 | F |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 742 | MT | 50 | F | 24/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 743 | TG | 33 | F | 24/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 744 | NS | 37 | M | 25/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 745 | MT | 6 | M | 25/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 746 | TK | 8 | M | 25/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 747 | KM | 8 | F | 25/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 748 | MV | 31 | F | 25/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 750 | NK | 21 | F | 26/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 751 | PO | 24 | M | 26/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 753 | NME | 29 | F | 28/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 754 | KD | 52 | F | 28/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 755 | MD | 15 | F | 28/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 759 | SP | 38 | M | 30/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 760 | PA | 42 | F | 30/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 763 | MM | 26 | F | 31/10/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 764 | MC | 26 | F | 31/10/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |
| 766 | ME | 29 | F | 12/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 768 | MC | 9 | F | 12/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 770 | MC | 28 | F | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 771 | CJ | 72 | M | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 772 | ME | 56 | M | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STUDY NO | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 774 | MS | 6 | M | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 775 | MS | 34 | F | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Pituitary mass |
| 777 | SG | 47 | F | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 778 | RJ | 41 | M | 14/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 779 | NB | 16 | M | 14/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 780 | CT | 20 | M | 14/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 781 | MS | 60 | M | 15/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 782 | SS | 9 | F | 15/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 784 | TS | 7 | M | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 785 | MW | 47 | M | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 786 | MU | 3 | M | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 787 | NN | 46 | F | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 789 | TL | 26 | F | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 790 | DW | 21 | M | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 791 | NM | 53 | F | 18/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 792 | TS | 64 | M | 19/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 793 | MS | 6 | M | 19/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 794 | MS | 78 | F | 19/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 795 | MA | 26 | F | 20/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 796 | TM |  | M | 21/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 797 | PM | 63 | M | 21/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 798 | MAM | 59 | F | 21/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 799 | MH | 58 | F | 23/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 800 | MP | 39 | M | 24/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 801 | TM | 26 | M | 27/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 802 | NJ | 33 | M | 27/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 803 | LP | 32 | F | 27/12/2006 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Early oedema | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  |
| 804 | PH |  | M | 27/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 806 | BP |  | M | 28/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 807 | RC | 37 | F | 31/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 810 | MM | 54 | F | 1/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | Infarct | ABNORMAI | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Infarct |
| 813 | NP | 21 | M | 2/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 814 | MM | 60 | F | 2/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAI | ABNORMAI | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |
| 815 | KE | 37 | M | 2/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 817 | SB | 24 | M | 3/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 818 | KM | 35 | F | 3/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 821 | MB | 23 | F | 3/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 822 | GP | 17 | F | 4/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 824 | PO | 6 |  | 5/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 826 | TE | 38 | F | 6/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 828 | MB | 17MO |  | 8/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 830 | SW | 37 | M | 8/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 832 | CB | 19 | M | 8/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined |  |
| 833 | MJ | 43 | M | 9/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 834 | JJ | 35 | M | 9/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 835 | MF | 33 | F | 9/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 837 | LG | 2 |  | 10/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 838 | SJ | 22 | M | 10/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Abscess |
| 839 | NM | 31 | F | 12/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 840 | ML | 2 |  | 13/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 841 | MZ | 2 | F | 14/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 843 | FM | 54 |  | 15/01/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 844 | KM | 21 |  | 15/01/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 847 | MM | 47 | F | 17/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 848 | TE | 44 | M | 17/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 849 | MO | 2 | F | 17/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 850 | JV | 27 | F | 17/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 851 | MD | 54 | F | 17/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 852 | MP | 43 | M | 17/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 854 | NL | 14 | F | 18/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 855 | MM | 42 |  | 18/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 856 | MO | 9 |  | 19/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 858 | SJ | 41 | M | 20/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 860 | AI | 51 | M | 20/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 862 | NT | 28 |  | 20/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 863 | MC | 28 | M | 22/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 864 | MM | 34 | M | 22/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined |  |
| 865 | MJ | 25 | M | 22/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 866 | MG | 2 |  | 23/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 867 | MP | 41 | M | 24/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | Tiny enhancing nodule |
| 868 | NE | 51 | F | 24/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 870 | ME | 55 | F | 25/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 871 | MT | 12 |  | 25/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 872 | ZS | 17 |  | 25/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 873 | KT | 17 |  | 25/1/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | AVM choroid |
| 875 | MO | 9 |  | 25/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 876 | NS | 29 | F | 25/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 880 | RL | 51 |  | 26/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 881 | SK | 16 |  | 26/1/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | Small lacunar infarct | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |
| 883 | NG | 3 |  | 29/01/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 884 | KJ |  | F | 29/01/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |
| 885 | SM |  | M | 29/01/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 886 | 00 | 5 |  | 29/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 889 | SG | 12 |  | 30/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 894 | NL |  | M | 01/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 896 | KE | 47 | F | 02/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 897 | MT | 3MO | M | 02/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 898 | LM |  | F | 02/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 899 | BM | 46 | M | 02/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 900 | MA | 7 | F | 02/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 901 | CK | 13 | F | 03/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 905 | MI |  | M | 06/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 906 | MM | 49 | F | 06/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 908 | BP | 42 | F | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 909 | MJ | 6 | M | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 910 | SB | 2 | M | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 911 | MB | 71 | M | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 912 | SD | 42 | F | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 913 | MM | 5 | M | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 916 | JR | 62 | F | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 917 | KT | 41 | F | 07/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 918 | MT | 4 | F | 08/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 920 | GB | 39 | F | 08/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 921 | MB | 65 | M | 08/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 923 | NS | 39 | M | 08/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 924 | NB | 32 | M | 09/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 925 | MB | 48 | M | 09/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 928 | NA | 50 | F | 11/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 929 | SC | 25 | M | 11/02/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 933 | SW | 8 | m | 13/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 934 | ME | 54 | F | 14/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningioma |
| 935 | SM | 27 | F | 14/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 936 | SJ |  | M | 14/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 937 | TJ | 39 | F | 14/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 939 | RL | 20 | F | 15/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 941 | MG | 22 | M | 18/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined | NORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Undetermined |  |
| 942 | MC | 31 | F | 19/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 943 | TS | 35 |  | 19/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 944 | NS | 62 | F | 19/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 946 | ME | 40 | F | 19/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 947 | KR | 2 | M | 20/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 948 | TG | 27 | M | 20/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 949 | KM | 23 | M | 20/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 950 | MJ | 65 | M | 21/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 952 | NW | 27 | M | 21/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 953 | MT | 7 | M | 21/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 954 | LN | 8 | F | 21/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 956 | SI | 9 | F | 21/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 958 | ME | 48 | F | 22/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 960 | MJ | 59 | M | 24/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 961 | NMQ | 23 | F | 25/02/2007 | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | UNSURE | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 962 | NT | 4 | F | 25/07/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 963 | NB | 47 | F | 26/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 965 | MN | 8 | M | 26/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 966 | SG | 42 | F | 26/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 968 | RS | 57 | M | 27/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 969 | SD | 34 | F | 27/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 970 | RI | 9 | F | 27/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 971 | MC | 38 | M | 27/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 972 | NM | 56 | F | 27/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 973 | MS | 31 | M | 27/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 974 | MA | 44 | M | 28/2/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 976 | NN | 6 | F | 28/2/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 977 | MJ | 1 | M | 28/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | PRECONTRAST |  |  |  |  | POSTCONTRAST |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STUDY } \\ & \text { NO } \end{aligned}$ | INITIALS | AGE | SEX | DATE | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  | READER 1 | READER 2 | READER 3 | OUTCOME |  |
| 978 | DT | 16 | F | 28/2/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Cavernous sinus mass | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Abscess |
| 979 | KG | 9 | F | 28/2/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 981 | MW | 52 | M | 2/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 982 | RS | 61 | M | 2/3/2007 | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Undetermined |  |
| 984 | MF | 48 | F | 5/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 985 | VM | 24 | M | 5/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 986 | SM | 38 | M | 6/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 987 | PE | 21 | F | 6/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 988 | HM | 1MO | F | 6/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 989 | MD | 58 | F | 7/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 990 | RB | 4 | M | 7/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 991 | BM | 4 | F | 7/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |
| 992 | MT |  |  | 08/03/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |


[Type text]

## DATASHEET D

[Type text]

| 477 | TA | 28 | F | 21/07 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 485 | CM | 38 | M | 25/07 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | atrophy | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 529 | ML | 44 | F | 07/08 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Lacunar infarct |  |
| 535 | SJM | 30 | M | 10/08 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 538 | CA | 45 | M | 11/08 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |  |
| 573 | ME |  |  | 30/08 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | White <br> Matter hypodensity | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 584 | BT | 1 | M | 03/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis | NO |
| 585 | HG | 40 | F | 03/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Pituitary microadenoma | NO |
| 586 | MC | 38 | M | 04/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 594 | MJ | 15 | F | 05/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Colpocephaly | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 595 | MMM | 51 | F | 06/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 603 | SC | 30 | F | 08/09 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | WM changes | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 604 | MM |  |  | 10/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 624 | MT | 14 | M | 14/09 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | AVM |  |
| 625 | MH | 33 | M | 14/09 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | lacunar | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 631 | KT | 28 | M | 17/09 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | contrast changed dx | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | neurocystisercosis |  |
| 642 | MP | 44 | M | 18/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Enhancing nodule Rt frontal | NO |
| 643 | BG | 37 | M | 18/09 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | WM contrast added | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Lacunar infarct and White matter changes |  |
| 695 | ME | 53 | F | 06/10 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Cystic Lesionneurocystisercosis | YES |
| 712 | MM | 16 | F | 13/10 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 764 | MC | 26 | F | 31/10 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis |  |
| 775 | MS | 34 | F | 13/12/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Pituitary mass | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | meningitis \& pituitary mass | Pituitary mass yes meningitis no |
| 803 | LP | 32 | F | 27/12/2006 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Early oedema | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 810 | MM | 54 | F | 1/1/2007 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Infarct | ABNORMAI | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Infarct |  |
| 814 | MM | 60 | F | 2/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAI | ABNORMAI | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningitis | NO |
| 832 | CB | 19 | M | 8/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | 2 x enhancing nodules | NO |
| 838 | SJ | 22 | M | 10/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | mengitis and ring enhancing lesion | YES |
| 864 | MM | 34 | M | 22/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |


| 867 | MP | 41 | M | 24/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 873 | KT | 17 |  | 25/1/2007 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | AVM choroid |  |
| 881 | SK | 16 |  | 26/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 884 | KJ |  | F | 29/01/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningioma | YES |
| 929 | SC | 25 | M | 11/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 934 | ME | 54 | F | 14/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Meningioma | NO |
| 941 | MG | 22 | M | 18/02/2007 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 961 | NMQ | 23 | F | 25/02/2007 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 978 | DT | 16 | F | 28/2/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Cavernous sinus mass | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  | YES - hypodense middle cranial fossa lesion |
| 982 | RS | 61 | M | 2/3/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 24 | KR | 31 | F | 5/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 82 | NA | 63 | M | 17/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 87 | ML | 32 | M | 20/11/2006 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ATROPHY | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | gliosis |  |
| 142 | MN | 13 | F | 30/11/2006 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | Atrophy | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | enhancing nodule | NO |
| 320 | DJ | 73 | F | 03/05 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | Transverse \& sigmoid sinus thrombosis | NO |
| 350 | SC | 32 | M | 25/05 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | ABNORMAL | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | meningitis | YES - ill defined SSS SSS |
| 583 | RR | 33 | F | 03/09 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 749 | MG |  |  | 26/10 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 808 | TM | 31 | M | 31/12/2006 | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ATROPHY | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL |  |  |
| 932 | MF | 59 | M | 13/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 887 | PG | 1 | 1 | 29/1/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL |  |  |
| 964 | MD | 10 | M | 26/02/2007 | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | NORMAL | ATROPHY | NORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | ABNORMAL | MENINGITIS | NO |

